


## Record of individual Cabinet member decision

Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

<b>Decision made by</b>	Councillor Roger Cox
<b>Key decision?</b>	No
<b>Date of decision</b> (same as date form signed)	16 <sup>th</sup> September 2016
<b>Name and job title of officer requesting the decision</b>	William Sparling – Senior Planning Policy Officer
<b>Officer contact details</b>	Tel: 077717 150693 Email: <a href="mailto:william.sparling@southandvale.gov.uk">william.sparling@southandvale.gov.uk</a>
<b>Decision</b>	To accept all of the Faringdon Neighbourhood Plan independent examiner's modifications and recommendation to proceed to referendum.
<b>Reasons for decision</b>	<p>The District Council must decide if a neighbourhood plan meets the 'basic conditions' set out in the Localism Act (2011) and Neighbourhood Planning Regulations (2012).</p> <p>If the District Council is satisfied that the Neighbourhood Plan meets the basic conditions and complies with other relevant legislation and provisions, they must proceed to referendum as required by Schedule 10, paragraph 12 of the Localism Act 2011.</p> <p>In his report, the independent examiner sets out proposed modifications and after making those changes that the plan meets the basic conditions and should proceed to referendum.</p>
<b>Alternative options rejected</b>	<p>a) The alternative option to reject the independent examiner's recommendation has been considered.</p> <p>This option is not necessary because the district council is mindful to agree with all of the examiner's modifications so the plan meets the basic conditions and the recommendation to proceed.</p>
<b>Legal implications</b>	There are no legal implications of the decision.
<b>Financial implications</b>	The District Council must now organise and carry out a referendum for the neighbourhood area. The District Council

will claim £20,000 from DCLG for the successful examination of a neighbourhood plan in the next claim window. There are no other implications.																																					
<b>Other implications</b>																																					
<b>Background papers considered</b>	1. Faringdon Neighbourhood Plan 2. Faringdon Neighbourhood Plan Independent Examiner's report																																				
<b>Declarations/conflict of interest? Declaration of other councillor/officer consulted by the Cabinet member?</b>	None																																				
<b>List consultees</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Name</th> <th>Outcome</th> <th>Date</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ward councillor(s)</td> <td>Roger Cox Mohinder Kainth</td> <td>Agreed Agreed</td> <td>16/09/2016 13/09/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Legal</td> <td>Ian Price</td> <td>Agreed +</td> <td>08/09/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Finance</td> <td>Paul Sheppard</td> <td>Suggested changes made</td> <td>12/09/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Human resources</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sustainability</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Diversity and equality</td> <td>Cheryl Reeves</td> <td>Agreed</td> <td>08/09/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Communications</td> <td>Andy Roberts</td> <td>Agreed</td> <td>13/09/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Head of Service</td> <td>Adrian Duffield</td> <td>Agreed</td> <td>15/09/2016</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Name	Outcome	Date	Ward councillor(s)	Roger Cox Mohinder Kainth	Agreed Agreed	16/09/2016 13/09/2016	Legal	Ian Price	Agreed +	08/09/2016	Finance	Paul Sheppard	Suggested changes made	12/09/2016	Human resources	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sustainability	N/A	N/A	N/A	Diversity and equality	Cheryl Reeves	Agreed	08/09/2016	Communications	Andy Roberts	Agreed	13/09/2016	Head of Service	Adrian Duffield	Agreed	15/09/2016
	Name	Outcome	Date																																		
Ward councillor(s)	Roger Cox Mohinder Kainth	Agreed Agreed	16/09/2016 13/09/2016																																		
Legal	Ian Price	Agreed +	08/09/2016																																		
Finance	Paul Sheppard	Suggested changes made	12/09/2016																																		
Human resources	N/A	N/A	N/A																																		
Sustainability	N/A	N/A	N/A																																		
Diversity and equality	Cheryl Reeves	Agreed	08/09/2016																																		
Communications	Andy Roberts	Agreed	13/09/2016																																		
Head of Service	Adrian Duffield	Agreed	15/09/2016																																		
<b>Confidential decision?</b> If so, under which exempt category?	No																																				
<b>Call-in waived by Scrutiny Committee chairman?</b>	N/A																																				
<b>Cabinet member's signature</b> To confirm the decision as set out in this notice.	<p>Signature <u></u></p> <p>Date <u>16<sup>th</sup> September 2016</u></p>																																				

**ONCE SIGNED, THIS FORM MUST BE HANDED TO DEMOCRATIC SERVICES IMMEDIATELY.**

For Democratic Services office use only	
Form received	Date: <u>16/9/16</u> Time: <u>4pm</u>
Date published to Scrutiny Committee	Date: <u>N/A</u>
Call-in deadline	Date: <u>N/A</u> Time: